

# An Alternative Approach to Solutions of Nonlinear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems

Cole, A. T., Adeboye, K. R.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.

## ABSTRACT

We treat variational iterative method as an alternative technique for solving nonlinear two-point boundary value problems without the need of establishing a variational formulation for the boundary value problems. This method is based on Lagrange multipliers for the identification of optimal values of parameters in a functional and produces a sequence which provides an approximation to the solution. The numerical results of the solved problems compared with the analytical solutions confirm the ability of the method to produce good approximate results.

**Keywords :** *variational iteration method, nonlinear boundary value problems, lagrange multiplier, correction functional, exact solution*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Many problems in physical phenomena are stated as boundary value problems. Two-point boundary value problems occur in a wide variety of problems such as modeling of chemical reactions, the boundary layer theory in fluid mechanics and heat power transmission. The wide applicability of boundary value problems in engineering and sciences calls for faster and accurate numerical methods.

The variational iteration method has been widely used to handle nonlinear models and possesses the ability to solve nonlinear equations accurately and conveniently. The method is valid not only for weakly nonlinear equations but also for strongly nonlinear ones, and the solutions obtained are valid for the whole solution domain [1].

Wazwaz [2], [3] applied the method to solve nonlinear partial differential equations and nonlinear diffusion equations, Uremen and Yildirim [4] obtained exact solutions of the Poisson equations. He [5] used this method to have a series of nonlinear differential equations using an iterative formula.

Torvattanabun and Koonprasert [6] employ the method for solving eight-order boundary value problems; also Onur and Aysegül [7] used it in solving a class of nonlinear differential equations.

In the past and recent literature, several authors have studied boundary value problems and proposed some numerical solutions. These include Ha. [8] who employed shooting technique to explicitly construct the approximate solution of two-point boundary-value problem with fourth order Runge-Kutta method by reducing the second-order boundary-value problem to a system of first-order equations, Jafri et al. [9] considered solving directly two-point boundary-value

problem for second-order ordinary differential equations using multistep method in terms of backward difference formula. Also, Attili and Syam [10] proposed an efficient shooting method for solving two-point boundary-value problem using the Adomian Decomposition

## Method

In this paper, we use variational iteration method to find the approximate analytical solution of the nonlinear two-point boundary-value problems of the form:

$$u'' = f(t, u, u'), \quad t \in [a, b] \quad (1)$$

with boundary conditions:

$$u(a) = \alpha, \quad u(b) = \beta \quad (2)$$

where  $a, b, \alpha, \beta$  are given constants and  $f$  is viewed as a nonlinear function of  $u$  and  $u'$ .

## 2. VARIATIONAL ITERATION METHOD

We consider the following general differential equation

$$Lu + Nu = g(t) \quad (3)$$

where  $L$  is a linear operator,  $N$  is a nonlinear operator and  $g(t)$  is a continuous function. The basic of the method [11] is to construct a correction function for system (1), which reads

$$u_{n+1}(t) = u_n(t) + \int_0^t \lambda (Lu_n(s) + Nu_n(s) - g(s)) ds \quad (4)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lagrangian multiplier [11] which can be identified optimally via variational theory.



$n$  is the  $n$ th approximation,  $\tilde{u}_n$  is considered as the restricted variation, i.e.  $\delta\tilde{u}_n = 0$

The Lagrangian multiplier,  $\lambda$  is first determined optimally followed by the successive approximation  $u_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$  of the solution  $u$  which will be readily obtained by using the determined Lagrange multiplier and any selective or trial function  $u_0$  involving unknown parameter(s) which can be identified by the associated boundary conditions after first iteration. The accuracy of this method depends upon the choice of the trial function. The solution is given by  $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$

### 3. NUMERICAL APPLICATION

#### 3.1 Example 3.1

Now, we consider the following nonlinear problem with Neumann conditions [12]

$$u'' = u^3 - uu' \tag{5}$$

with boundary conditions

$$u'(0) = -1, \quad u'(1) = -\frac{1}{4} \tag{6}$$

The exact solution  $u_e$ , is

$$u_e = \frac{1}{t+1} \tag{7}$$

The correction functional is given as:

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \int_0^t \lambda(s) (u_n''(s) - u_n^3(s) + u_n(s)u_n'(s)) ds \tag{8}$$

where the Lagrangian multiplier is identified as

$\lambda(s) = s - t$  after making the correction functional stationary.

This leads to the iteration formulation

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \int_0^t (s-t) (u_n''(s) - u_n^3(s) + u_n(s)u_n'(s)) ds \tag{9}$$

Using the selective or trial function

$$u_0 = 1 - t + \frac{1}{2!}at^2 - \frac{1}{3!}bt^3 \tag{10}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 = & 1 - t + t^2 - \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6}a\right)t^3 + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}a + \frac{1}{24}b\right)t^4 - \\ & \left(\frac{1}{20} + \frac{3}{20}a + \frac{1}{40}a^2 + \frac{7}{120}b\right)t^5 + \\ & \left(\frac{1}{72}ab + \frac{1}{20}a + \frac{1}{40}a^2 + \frac{1}{30}b\right)t^6 - \\ & \left(\frac{1}{84}ab + \frac{1}{504}b^2 + \frac{1}{56}a^2 + \frac{1}{84}b\right)t^7 + \\ & \left(\frac{1}{112}ab + \frac{1}{672}b^2 + \frac{1}{448}a^3\right)t^8 - \\ & \left(\frac{1}{576}a^2b + \frac{1}{864}b^2\right)t^9 + \frac{1}{2160}ab^2t^{10} \\ & - \frac{1}{23760}b^3t^{11} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Using the boundary conditions to solve, we have

$$a = 2.310123975, \quad b = 3.120247950$$

Consequently, we have the following approximants

$$u_0 = 1 - t + 1.155061988t^2 - 0.5200413250t^3$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 = & 1 - t + t^2 - 1.051687329t^3 + \\
 & 0.9575413250t^4 - 0.7119498794t^5 + \\
 & 0.4530446110t^6 - 0.2375723166t^7 + \\
 & 0.1063652804t^8 - 0.04017773232t^9 + \\
 & 0.01041261352t^{10} - 0.001278559323t^{11}
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_2 = & 1 - t + t^2 - t^3 + 1.102921832t^4 - \\
 & 1.009598830t^5 + 0.9596213535t^6 - \\
 & 0.866580273t^7 + 0.7454316787t^8 - \\
 & 0.6129524339t^9 + 0.4827825348t^{10} - \\
 & 0.3644471225t^{11} + 0.2640729268t^{12} - \\
 & 0.1840240094t^{13} + 0.1234968611t^{14} - \\
 & 0.07985518916t^{15} + 0.04973468896t^{16} - \\
 & 0.02978943536t^{17} + 0.01711539207t^{18} - \\
 & 9.400336725t^{19} \times 10^{-3} + 4.915941660t^{20} \\
 & \times 10^{-3} - 2.437576509t^{21} \times 10^{-3} + \\
 & 1.141100006t^{22} \times 10^{-3} - 5.02014084t^{23} \times 10^{-4} \\
 & + 2.065265004t^{24} \times 10^{-4} - 7.901129344t^{25} \\
 & \times 10^{-5} + 2.792589394t^{26} \times 10^{-5} - \\
 & 9.04022006t^{27} \times 10^{-6} + 2.655110390t^{28} \\
 & \times 10^{-6} - 6.96321305t^{29} \times 10^{-7} + \\
 & 1.597762388t^{30} \times 10^{-7} - 3.109858365t^{31} \\
 & \times 10^{-8} + 4.899136974t^{32} \times 10^{-9} - \\
 & 5.804083069t^{33} \times 10^{-10} + 4.551241808t^{34} \\
 & \times 10^{-11} - 1.756368719t^{35} \times 10^{-12}
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_3 = & 1 - t + t^2 - t^3 + t^4 - 1.002584366t^5 + \\
 & 1.004045627t^6 - 0.9999804659t^7 + \\
 & 0.9820420332t^8 - 0.9493566019t^9 + \\
 & 0.9027569268t^{10} - 0.8445414212t^{11} + \\
 & 0.7776289967t^{12} - 0.7051221832t^{13} + \\
 & 0.6300058084t^{14} - 0.5549393907t^{15} + \\
 & 0.4821453464t^{16} - 0.4133640929t^{17} + \\
 & 0.3498538444t^{18} - 0.2924203823t^{19} + \\
 & 0.2414665844t^{20} - 0.1970554435t^{21} + \\
 & 0.1589806920t^{22} - 0.1268388503t^{23} + \\
 & 0.100969597t^{24} - 0.07815157025t^{25} + \\
 & 0.06037651448t^{26} - 0.04615883855t^{27} + \\
 & 0.03492366594t^{28} - 0.02614957559t^{29} + \\
 & 0.01937640860t^{30} - 0.01420738634t^{31} + \\
 & 0.01030725137t^{32} - 0.007397830430t^{33} + \\
 & 0.005252134178t^{34} - 0.003687810631t^{35} + \\
 & 0.002560533312t^{36} - 0.001757708591t^{37} + \\
 & 0.001192731542t^{38} - 7.999129422t^{39} \times 10^{-4} \\
 & + 5.301177819t^{40} \times 10^{-4} - 3.471026283t^{41} \\
 & \times 10^{-4} + 2.245051869t^{42} \times 10^{-4} - \\
 & 1.434186021t^{43} \times 10^{-4} + 9.047385258t^{44} \times 10^{-5} \\
 & - 5.635167535t^{45} \times 10^{-5} + 3.464842584t^{46} \times 10^{-5} \\
 & - 2.102705725t^{47} \times 10^{-5} + 1.259259080t^{48} \times 10^{-5} \\
 & - 7.440700293t^{49} \times 10^{-6} + 4.337023254t^{50} \times 10^{-6} \\
 & - 2.493226659t^{51} \times 10^{-6} + 1.413291992t^{52} \times 10^{-6} \\
 & - 7.897771536t^{53} \times 10^{-7} + 4.349866945t^{54} \times 10^{-7} \\
 & - 2.360670578t^{55} \times 10^{-7} + 1.262015154t^{56} \times 10^{-7} \\
 & - 6.644117007t^{57} \times 10^{-8} + 3.44364995t^{58} \times 10^{-8} \\
 & - 1.756567369t^{59} \times 10^{-8} + 8.815005813t^{60} \times 10^{-9} \\
 & - 4.350399491t^{61} \times 10^{-9} + 2.110626908t^{62} \times 10^{-9} \\
 & - 1.006205235t^{63} \times 10^{-9} + 4.711518033t^{64} \times 10^{-10} \\
 & - 2.165859212t^{65} \times 10^{-10} + 9.769663010t^{66} \times 10^{-11} \\
 & - 4.321980719t^{67} \times 10^{-11} + 1.874140946t^{68} \times 10^{-11} \\
 & - 7.961379080t^{69} \times 10^{-12} + 3.11150073t^{70} \times 10^{-12}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & -1.347406564t^{71} \times 10^{-12} + 5.361151250t^{72} \times 10^{-13} \\
 & -2.084621794t^{73} \times 10^{-13} + 7.911514420t^{74} \times 10^{-14} \\
 & -2.929813233t^{75} \times 10^{-14} + 1.057627072t^{76} \times 10^{-15} \\
 & -3.718380932t^{77} \times 10^{-15} + 1.272021363t^{78} \times 10^{-15} \\
 & -4.229758178t^{79} \times 10^{-16} + 1.365669956t^{80} \times 10^{-16} \\
 & -4.276376658t^{81} \times 10^{-17} + 1.297042913t^{82} \times 10^{-17} \\
 & -3.805225514t^{83} \times 10^{-18} + 1.078195914t^{84} \times 10^{-18} \\
 & -2.945680084t^{85} \times 10^{-19} + 7.745504314t^{86} \times 10^{-20} \\
 & -1.956186926t^{87} \times 10^{-20} + 4.734660132t^{88} \\
 & \times 10^{-21} - 1.095447254t^{89} \times 10^{-21} + \\
 & 2.415963099t^{90} \times 10^{-22} - 5.062897384t^{91} \\
 & \times 10^{-23} + 1.004489700t^{92} \times 10^{-23} \\
 & -1.879035549t^{93} \times 10^{-24} + 3.298430435t^{94} \\
 & \times 10^{-25} - 5.403566781t^{95} \times 10^{-26} + \\
 & 8.208780565t^{96} \times 10^{-27} - 1.147721103t^{97} \\
 & \times 10^{-27} + 1.463757160t^{98} \times 10^{-28} \\
 & -1.684574553t^{99} \times 10^{-29} + 1.726412601t^{100} \\
 & \times 10^{-30} - 1.549523511t^{101} \times 10^{-31} + \\
 & 1.191994662t^{102} \times 10^{-32} - 7.633053558t^{103} \\
 & \times 10^{-34} + 3.902051057t^{104} \times 10^{-35} \\
 & -1.491365092t^{105} \times 10^{-36} + 3.784315963t^{106} \\
 & \times 10^{-38} - 4.777024158t^{107} \times 10^{-40}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

**3.2 Example 3.2**

Consider the nonlinear BVP [13]:

$$u'' = \frac{3}{2}u^2 \tag{15}$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(0) = 1, \quad u(1) = 4 \tag{16}$$

The exact solution  $u_e$ , is

$$u_e = \frac{4}{(2-t)^2} \tag{17}$$

The correction functional is given as:

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \int_0^t \lambda(s) \left( u_n''(s) - \frac{3}{2}u_n^2(s) \right) ds \tag{18}$$

where the Lagrangian multiplier is identified as  $\lambda(s) = s - t$  after making the correction functional stationary.

The iteration formulation becomes

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \int_0^t (s - t) \left( u_n''(s) - \frac{3}{2}u_n^2(s) \right) ds \tag{19}$$

Using the selective or trial function

$$u_0 = 1 + t + \frac{1}{2!}at^2 + \frac{1}{3!}bt^3 \tag{20}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 = & 1 + t + \frac{3}{4}t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^3 + \left( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}a \right) t^4 + \\
 & \left( \frac{1}{40}b + \frac{3}{40}a \right) t^5 + \left( \frac{1}{80}a^2 + \frac{1}{60}b \right) t^6 + \\
 & \frac{1}{168}abt^7 + \frac{1}{1344}b^2t^8
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{21}$$

Using the boundary conditions, we have

$$a = 0.19177723, \quad b = 11.42466831$$

Consequently, we have the following approximants

$$u_0 = 1 + t + 0.095888615t^2 + 1.904111385t^3 \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 = & 1 + t + 0.75t^2 + 0.5t^3 + 0.1489721538t^4 \\
 & + 0.3t^5 + 0.1908708698t^6 + 0.0130416145t^7 \\
 & + 0.0971153616t^8
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_2 = & 1 + t + 0.75t^2 + 0.5t^3 + 0.3125t^4 + \\
 & 0.1875t^5 + 9.302221543t^6 \times 10^2 + \\
 & 5.885515383t^7 \times 10^{-2} + 3.897857049t^8 \\
 & \times 10^{-2} + 2.097494007t^9 \times 10^{-2} + \\
 & 1.381354931t^{10} \times 10^{-2} + 6.737008733t^{11} \\
 & \times 10^{-3} + 3.472540298t^{12} \times 10^{-3} + \\
 & 2.072341907t^{13} \times 10^{-3} + 6.022276935t^{14} \\
 & \times 10^{-4} + 4.517696112t^{15} \times 10^{-4} + \\
 & 2.327691926t^{16} \times 10^{-4} + 1.396920345t^{17} \\
 & \times 10^{-5} + 4.62323207t^{18} \times 10^{-5} \\
 \\
 u_3 = & 1 + t + 0.75t^2 + 0.5t^3 + 0.3125t^4 + \\
 & 0.1875t^5 + 0.109375t^6 + 0.0625t^7 \\
 & + 3.428020797t^8 \times 10^{-2} + 1.869801538t^9 \\
 & \times 10^{-2} + 1.033928370t^{10} \times 10^{-2} + \\
 & 5.705447281t^{11} \times 10^{-3} + 3.184035488t^{12} \\
 & \times 10^{-3} + 1.76163364t^{13} \times 10^{-3} + \\
 & 9.659322847t^{14} \times 10^{-4} + 5.26300135t^{15} \\
 & \times 10^{-4} + 2.781981520t^{16} \times 10^{-4} + \\
 & 1.465379203t^{17} \times 10^{-4} + 7.647650261t^{18} \\
 & \times 10^{-5} + 3.89750073t^{19} \times 10^{-5} + \\
 & 1.986058047t^{20} \times 10^{-5} + 9.834750589t^{21} \\
 & \times 10^{-6} + 4.865309614t^{22} \times 10^{-6} + \\
 & 2.343845929t^{23} \times 10^{-6} + 1.102991587t^{24} \\
 & \times 10^{-6} + 5.297530152t^{25} \times 10^{-7} + \\
 & 2.399769810t^{26} \times 10^{-7} + 1.096094553t^{27} \\
 & \times 10^{-7} + 4.998432758t^{28} \times 10^{-8}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2.050394599t^{29} \times 10^{-8} + 9.169677305t^{30} \\
 & \times 10^{-9} + 3.596365988t^{31} \times 10^{-9} + \\
 & 1.306310318t^{32} \times 10^{-9} + 5.948688479t^{33} \\
 & \times 10^{-10} + 1.638776092t^{34} \times 10^{-10} + \\
 & 6.085199481t^{35} \times 10^{-11} + 2.585483154t^{36} \\
 & \times 10^{-11} + 1.454569131t^{37} \times 10^{-12} + \\
 & 2.280328043t^{38} \times 10^{-12}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_4 = & 1 + t + 0.75t^2 + 0.5t^3 + 0.3125t^4 + \\
 & 0.1875t^5 + 0.109375t^6 + 0.0625t^7 \\
 & + 3.515624998t^8 \times 10^{-2} + 1.953125001t^9 \\
 & \times 10^{-2} + 1.071298610t^{10} \times 10^{-2} + \\
 & 5.812758364t^{11} \times 10^{-3} + 3.130801535t^{12} \\
 & \times 10^{-3} + 1.677834789t^{13} \times 10^{-3} + \\
 & 8.96797455t^{14} \times 10^{-4} + 4.785857536t^{15} \\
 & \times 10^{-4} + 2.550967871t^{16} \times 10^{-4} + \\
 & 1.358235846t^{17} \times 10^{-4} + 7.217276471t^{18} \\
 & \times 10^{-5} + 3.825587521t^{19} \times 10^{-5} + \\
 & 2.021895957t^{20} \times 10^{-5} + 1.064735883t^{21} \\
 & \times 10^{-5} + 5.585163180t^{22} \times 10^{-6} + \\
 & 2.916828027t^{23} \times 10^{-6} + 1.516460940t^{24} \\
 & \times 10^{-6} + 7.846663260t^{25} \times 10^{-7} + \\
 & 4.040100222t^{26} \times 10^{-7} + 2.070522667t^{27} \\
 & \times 10^{-7} + 1.055936877t^{28} \times 10^{-7} + \\
 & 5.359311245t^{29} \times 10^{-8} + 2.707334255t^{30} \\
 & \times 10^{-8} + 1.360752992t^{31} \times 10^{-8} + \\
 & 6.806501998t^{32} \times 10^{-9} + 3.387411362t^{33}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &\times 10^{-9} + 1.677013062t^{34} \times 10^{-9} + \\
 &8.261151907t^{35} \times 10^{-10} + 4.047597056t^{36} \\
 &\times 10^{-10} + 1.972628343t^{37} \times 10^{-10} + \\
 &9.562918179t^{38} \times 10^{-11} + 4.610051883t^{39} \\
 &\times 10^{-11} + 2.210245849t^{40} \times 10^{-11} + \\
 &1.053631415t^{41} \times 10^{-11} + 4.994142494t^{42} \\
 &\times 10^{-12} + 2.352796316t^{43} \times 10^{-12} + \\
 &1.101618154t^{44} \times 10^{-12} + 5.124773075t^{45} \\
 &\times 10^{-12} + 2.367486727t^{46} \times 10^{-13} \\
 &1.086107266t^{47} \times 10^{-13} + 4.944651301t^{48} \\
 &\times 10^{-14} + 2.233401880t^{49} \times 10^{-14} + \\
 &1.000631449t^{50} \times 10^{-14} + 4.442859188t^{51} \\
 &\times 10^{-15} + 1.955352239t^{52} \times 10^{-15} + \\
 &8.522438509t^{53} \times 10^{-16} + 3.676870733t^{54} \\
 &\times 10^{-16} + 1.570551820t^{55} \times 10^{-16} + \\
 &6.629693892t^{56} \times 10^{-17} + 2.768139617t^{57} \\
 &\times 10^{-17} + 1.141363443t^{58} \times 10^{-17} + \\
 &4.640592706t^{59} \times 10^{-18} + 1.864515888t^{60} \\
 &\times 10^{-18} + 7.358625748t^{61} \times 10^{-19} + \\
 &2.862487858t^{62} \times 10^{-19} + 1.096064189t^{63} \\
 &\times 10^{-19} + 4.091823055t^{64} \times 10^{-20} + \\
 &1.510494031t^{65} \times 10^{-20} + 5.413984098t^{66} \\
 &\times 10^{-21} + 1.884136776t^{67} \times 10^{-21} + \\
 &6.563755854t^{68} \times 10^{-22} + 2.110322014t^{69} \\
 &\times 10^{-22} + 6.803873040t^{70} \times 10^{-23} + \\
 &2.1400519951t^{71} \times 10^{-23} + 5.828993169t^{72} \\
 &\times 10^{-24} + 1.808325040t^{73} \times 10^{-24} + \\
 &4.423052533t^{74} \times 10^{-25} + 9.533521616t^{75} \\
 &\times 10^{-26} + 3.158704257t^{76} \times 10^{-26} + \\
 &1.700390354t^{77} \times 10^{-27} + 1.298675346t^{78} \\
 &\times 10^{-27}
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The VIM is used to find the numerical solution of nonlinear two-point boundary value problems. The main advantage is its fast convergence to the solution,

Table 1 shows the approximate solutions for Example 3.1 and the error estimates at n=2. From the numerical results in Table 1 it is clear that the approximate solutions are in high agreement with the exact solutions.

Table 2 indicates the approximate solution of example 3.2 and the error estimates for VIM at n=3, a good agreement is observed between the numerical solution and the exact solution.

**Table 1: Error estimates for vim at n=2**

t	$u_e$	$u_{vim_{n=2}}$	Errors
0	1.000000000	1.000000000	0
0.1	0.9090909091	0.9090908882	2,09E-8
0.2	0.8333333333	0.8333328019	5.31 E-7
0.3	0.7692307692	0.7692276075	3.1617 E-6
0.4	0.7142857143	0.7142753601	1.03542 E-5
0.5	0.6666666667	0.6666422807	2.4386 E-5
0.6	0.6250000000	0.6249535039	4.64961 E-5
0.7	0.5882352941	0.5881589553	7.63388 E-5
0.8	0.5555555556	0.5554437911	1.117644 E-4
0.9	0.5263157895	0.5261669509	1.488386 E-4
1	0.5000000000	0.4998179728	1.820272 E-4

**Table 2: Error estimates for vim at n=3**

t	$u_e$	$u_{vim_{n=3}}$	Errors
0	1.000000000	1.000000000	0
0.1	1.108033241	1.108033241	0
0.2	1.234567901	1.234567901	0
0.3	1.384083045	1.384083044	1 E-9
0.4	1.562500000	1.562499994	6 E-9
0.5	1.777777778	1.777777711	6.7 E-8
0.6	2.040816326	2.040815825	5.01 E-7
0.7	2.366863905	2.366861069	2.869 E-6
0.8	2.777777778	2.777764648	1.313 E-5
0.9	3.305785124	3.305732941	5.2183 E-5
1	4.000000000	3.999816108	1.83892 E-4

Figure 1 displays the graph of the approximate solution of example 3.1 against the exact solution, the best approximate solution of this problem is at the 2<sup>nd</sup> iterate.

Figure 2 shows the graphic of the approximate solution of example 3.2 versus the exact solution, the best approximate solution of this problem is at the 3<sup>rd</sup> iterate.




Fig 1. Plots comparing VIM approximate solution at n=2 with the Exact solution




Fig 1. Plots comparing VIM approximate solution at n=3 with the Exact solution

## 5. CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that this relatively new technique can be treated as an alternative for solving a wide class of nonlinear problems. The approximate solutions obtained by the variation iteration method are compared with the exact solutions. The results show that the variational iteration method is a powerful mathematical tool for finding the numerical solutions of nonlinear two-point boundary value problems.

This reveals that VIM is very effective and convenient.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. J.H. He, E. W. M. Lee (2009), "New analytical methods for cleaning up the solutions of nonlinear equations", *Computers and Mathematics with applications*, 58, 2081-2083.
- [2]. A.M. Wazwaz (2007), "The variational iteration method for solving linear and nonlinear systems of PDEs", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 54, 895-902
- [3]. A.M. Wazwaz (2007), "The variational iteration method: a powerful scheme for handling linear and nonlinear diffusion equations", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 54, 933-939.
- [4]. S. Uremen, A. Yildirim (2009), "Exact solutions of Poisson equation for electrostatic potential problems by means of the variational iteration method", *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Num.* 10 867-871.
- [5]. J.H. He (1997), "A new approach to nonlinear partial differential equations", *Comm. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 2(4) 230-235.
- [6]. Torvattanabun M. and Koonprasert S. (2010), "Variational Iteration Method for Solving Eight-Order Boundary Value Problems", *The Journal of Mathematics, Special Issue (Annual Mathematics Meeting)* pp 121-129.
- [7]. Onur Kymaz and Aysegül Çetinkaya (2010), "Variational Iteration Method for a Class of Nonlinear Differential Equations", *Int. J. Contemp. Maths Sciences*, Vol. 5, no. 37, pp 1819-1826.
- [8]. Ha, S. N. (2001), "A nonlinear shooting method for two-point boundary value problems". *Comput. Math. Appl.* 42, 1411-1420



- [9]. Jafri M. D., Suleiman M., Majid Z. A. and Ibrahim Z. B. (2009), "Solving directly two-point boundary value problems using direct multistep method". *Sams Malaysiana* 38(5):723-728
- [10]. Attili B. S., and Syam M. I. (2008), "Efficient shooting method for solving two-point boundary value problems". *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 35:895–903.
- [11]. Ji Huan-He (2007), Variational Iteration Method-Some Resent Results and New Interpretation, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 207:3-17.
- [12]. Luma N. M. Tawfiq and Khalid M. M. Al-Abraheme (2011), "On Solution of Two Point Second Order Boundary Value Problems using Semi-Analytical Method", *Journal of Basrah Researches ((Sciences))*, Volume 37, Number 4, D.
- [13]. Jain M. K., Iyengar S. R. K. and Jain R. K. (2007), "Numerical Methods for Scientific and Engineering Computation, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition". *New Age International Publishers, New Delhi*, pp 574.